**Honors Practical Law**

**“Twelve Angry Men”**

***“Doce hombres sin piedad”***

**Mr. Fernandez**

Goal(s): To review some of the principles upon which the American legal system rests and to increase command of legal vocabulary.

Objective: To view the classic film and to discuss the answers in groups at the end.

Answer the following questions on a separate sheet as you view the film (3 points each):

1. For what crime has the young man been indicted? Describe the defendant.

*¿Con qué crimen ha sido acusado el acusado? Describe al acusado.*

1. Describe the mood of the judge giving instructions to the jury. Why is this significant?

*Describe el estado de ánimo del juez dando instrucciones al jurado. ¿Por qué es esto significativo?*

1. As you view the film, create a list of all twelve jurors in the deliberation room and briefly describe the contributions of each to analyzing the evidence.

*Crear una lista de los doce jurados en la sala de deliberación. Adicionalmente, describir brevemente las contribuciones de cada uno para analizar la evidencia.*

1. Against which juror would you have issued a peremptory challenge during the *voir dire* for the defense? Explain.

*¿Contra qué jurado habría emitido una recusación perentoria durante el voir dire de defensa? Explica tu selección.*

1. Against which juror would you have issued a peremptory challenge during the *vior dire* for the prosecution? Explain.

*¿Contra qué jurado habría emitido una recusación perentoria durante el juicio anterior para la acusación? Explica tu selección.*

1. Which juror does not understand the presumption of innocence in the American legal system created by the 5th Amendment? Provide specific proof.

*¿Qué jurado no entiende la presunción de inocencia en el sistema legal estadounidense creado por la quinta enmienda? Proporcionar pruebas específicas.*

1. Pick the most important three jurors and explain their significance in the consideration of evidence during the deliberation process.

*Elija los tres jurados más importantes y explique su importancia en la consideración de las pruebas durante el proceso de deliberación.*

1. Based upon the dialogue during the deliberation process, who presented the best case in court – the prosecution or the defense? Explain specifically.

*¿Quién presentó el mejor caso en la corte: la acusación o la defensa? Explicar específicamente.*

1. How might the outcome of this case been different with a sequestered jury?

*¿Cómo podría ser diferente el resultado de este caso con un jurado secuestrado?*

1. Has viewing this film given you faith in our legal system, or has it made created doubts? Explain your answer in a paragraph, citing two specific scenes from the film supporting your viewpoint.

*¿Ha visto esta película si le ha dado fe en nuestro sistema legal, o ha creado dudas? Explicar específicamente con dos o tres encenas en la pelicula.*